## Updates on the use of EFAST in Trauma

Michael Del Valle, MD



# Disclosures

No relevant financial relationships that create a conflict of interest for CME purposes.

## **Learning Objectives**

- 1. Review basics of image acquisition
- 2. Highlight essential views
- 3. Discuss clinical integration
- 4. Discuss controversies in evidence-based EFAST
- 5. Overview of pearls and pitfalls of pediatric EFAST

Extended Focused Assessment with **Sonography in** Trauma



## **Right Upper Quadrant**





## Left Upper Quadrant





## Cardiac





## Pelvic









## **Essential Views**

### **Most Sensitive Views**

#### **Right Upper Quadrant**



#### Most Sensitive Views Left Upper Quadrant



#### Most Sensitive Views Pelvis

PHILIPS PHILIPS MI 0.5 MI 0.6 **TIS 0.0 TIS 0.0** Abdomen. Abdomen. Suprapubic JK C6-2 C6-2 23Hz 26Hz 17.0cm 20.0cm HPen HGen Gn 57 Gn 57 65 2/3/3 65 10\_ 15\_ (P)\_\_\_\_\_ R 2.0 4.0 P \_ R 2.0 4.0 17.0cm\_ 

10\_

20.0cm

### **Most Sensitive Views**

Cardiac





## So where's the evidence?

### **Udobi et al (2001)**

#### Penetrating Abdominal Trauma

#### + Free Fluid

Sensitivity46%Specificity94%Positive Predictive Value90%Negative Predictive Value60%

### Nishijima et al (2012)

Positive FAST for Detecting Intra-abdominal Injury

+ Free Fluid

Sensitivity74Specificity96Positive LR30Negative LR0.26

## **Detecting Pneumothorax**

Pneumothorax			Pneumothorax	
Absence of lung sliding (4) and comet tail artifacts (3)			No Lung Sliding and Lack of Comet Tails	
	Sensitivity	Specificity	Sensitivity	90.9
Bedside POCUS	86-98%	97-100%	Specificity	98.2
AP CXR	28-75%	100%	Positive LR	50.5
			Negative LR	0.09
Wilkerson et al (2010)			Alrajhi et al (2012)	
Pneumothorax			Pneumothorax	
No Lung Sliding and Lack of Comet Tails			Absence of lung sliding	
Sensitivity		81%		Sensitivity
Specificity		98%	CUST	35%
Positive LR		67.9	Supine CXR	43%
Negative LR		0.18		



## Stop at one?

### **Blackbourne et al** (2004)

#### **Repeat FAST in Blunt Trauma**

#### + Free Fluid

	Initial	Secondary
Sensitivity	31%	72%
Specificity	100%	100%
Positive Predictive Value	95%	<b>98</b> %
Negative Predictive Value	<b>92</b> %	<b>97</b> %

## Pediatric FAST

### Holmes et al (2017)



### Liang et al (2021)

#### Positive FAST for Detecting Intra-abdominal Injury (Pediatric)

#### + Free Fluid

Sensitivity	35
Specificity	96
Positive LR	10.8
Negative LR	0.65

Consider the use of the PECARN Abdominal Trauma Rule

### Summary

- 1. Positioning is key
- 2. Be sure to obtain the essential views
- 3. EFAST is effective for detection of pneumothorax
- 4. Limited utility in stable pediatric patients
- 5. High specificity and low sensitivity in pediatric patients

# Questions?